

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.    | FILING D              | ATE        | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR       | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------|-----------------------|------------|----------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/664,398         | 10/664,398 09/15/2003 |            | Mario Jovelino Nunzio, Del | C4248(C)            | 4434             |
| 201                | 7590                  | 07/14/2004 |                            | EXAMINER            |                  |
| UNILEVER           |                       |            | DOUYON, LORNA M            |                     |                  |
| PATENT DE          | PARTMENT              |            |                            | A D.T. I.D.H.T.     | DARED MINUSES    |
| 45 RIVER RO        | DAD                   |            |                            | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
| EDGEWATER NJ 07020 |                       |            |                            | 1751                |                  |

DATE MAILED: 07/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |                       |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|
|   | 10/664,398  | NUNZIO, DEL ET AL.   |                       |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit   |                       |  |  |  |  |
|   | Lorna M. Douyon   | 1751   |                       |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply  | ears on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence ad   | ldress                |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed<br>s will be considered time<br>the mailing date of this of<br>D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | ly.<br>communication. |  |  |  |  |
| Status  |   |  |                       |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Septe  | ember 17, 2004.   |  |                       |  |  |  |  |
| - /   | 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.   |  |                       |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is  |   |  |                       |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E  | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45  | 3 O.G. 213.  |                       |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |  |                       |  |  |  |  |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or   |   |  |                       |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |   |  |                       |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplished any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct  | epted or b)⊡ objected to by the l<br>drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See  | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).  | FR 1.121(d).          |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  |   |  |                       |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |  |                       |  |  |  |  |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list  | s have been received.<br>s have been received in Applicati<br>ity documents have been receive<br>ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  | on No<br>ed in this Nationa  | l Stage               |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |   |  |                       |  |  |  |  |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5 pages</u> .  | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:  | ate  | O-152)                |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/664,398

Art Unit: 1751

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dovey et al. (WO 00/34422), hereinafter "Dovey".

Dovey teaches effervescence granules, Particles V which comprises 30% by weight malic acid having a volume median particle size of 75 microns and 30% by weight anhydrous sodium carbonate having a volume median particle size of 55 microns (see Table on page 63), the ratio of the d<sub>50</sub> particle size of malic acid to sodium carbonate is 1.4:1. Dovey teaches the limitations of the instant claims. Hence, Dovey anticipates the claims.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/664,398

Art Unit: 1751

evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dovey as applied to the above claims.

Dovey teaches the features as described above. In addition, Dovey teaches efferesvence granules which are free of alkyl benzene sulphonate surfactant as in Particles I, III, IV, VI and X (see Table on page 63). It is preferred that the acid source is citric acid (see page 5, lines 19 and 26) and the carbonate source is sodium carbonate (see page 7, line 4-5). The effervescence granule is preferably present at a level such that the acid source is present at a level from 0.5% to 40% by weight of the detergent composition, and such that the carbon dioxide source is preferably present at a level from 1% to 60% by weight of the detergent composition (see page 16, lines 8-13), totaling 1.5% by weight minimum of the combined acid and carbonate sources. Dovey, however, fails to specifically disclose the amount of effervescent granules in the laundry detergent composition at a level from 0.1 to less than 10 wt%.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to optimize the proportions of the effervescent granules in the laundry detergent composition of Dovey through routine experimentation for best results. As to optimization results, a patent will not be granted based upon the optimization of result effective variables when the optimization is obtained through routine experimentation unless there is a showing of

Application/Control Number: 10/664,398

Art Unit: 1751

unexpected results which properly rebuts the *prima facie* case of obviousness. See *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 276, 205 USPQ 215, 219 (CCPA 1980). See also *In re Woodruff*, 919 F.2d 1575, 1578, 16 USPQ2d 1934, 1936-37 (Fed. Cir. 1990), and *In re Aller*, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955). In addition, a *prima facie* case of obviousness exists because the claimed ranges "overlap or lie inside ranges disclosed by the prior art", see *In re Wertheim*, 541 F.2d 257, 191 USPQ 90 (CCPA 1976; *In re Woodruff*, 919 F.2d 1575, 16USPQ2d 1934 (Fed. Cir. 1990). See MPEP 2131.03 and MPEP 2144.05I.

## Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The references are considered cumulative to or less material than those discussed above.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lorna M. Douyon whose telephone number is (571) 272-1313. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays-Fridays from 8:00AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra Gupta can be reached on (571) 272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/664,398 Page 5

Art Unit: 1751

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lorna M. Douyon
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1751